Application No. 09/825,246

Applicant(s)

Singh et al.

Interview Summary	Singh et al.		
	Examiner Joyce Tung	Art Unit 1637	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	D personnel):		
(1) Joyce Tung			
(2) <u>Stephen Macevicz</u>			
Date of Interview Feb 14, 2003			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brie	f description:	
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
any other comments: Discussed the prior art and the instant invention. Applicant Applicants proposed to amend the specification to add the	nts proposed the further e support from the parer	amendment to clarify the at case for new claims.	e differences.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	copy of the amendment arate record of the subst	ts that would render the carrier ance of the interview (if I	claims allowable is pox is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Reco	PEP section 713.04). If SOM THIS INTERVIEW D	a reply to the last Office ATE TO FILE A STATEM!	action has NT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required